

**\$1.98**  
That's our price for any Soft or Stiff Hat worth \$2.50, \$3 and \$3.50.  
**Original Eagle**  
5 and 7 W. Wash.

**\$3.99**  
That's our price for Men's Suits heretofore sold at \$12 and \$15. Guaranteed strictly all wool.  
**Original Eagle**  
5 and 7 W. Wash.

## BIG 4 ROUTE

**\$15 TO BOSTON and RETURN**

The SPECIAL TRAIN, HEADQUARTERS DEPARTMENT OF INDIANA G. A. R. to BOSTON via the BIG 4 ROUTE, will leave Indianapolis at 3:15 p. m. SATURDAY, August 9, reach Niagara Falls for breakfast; leave there early in the afternoon, arriving at Boston early next morning, Monday, August 11.

This is the OFFICIAL ROUTE of the G. A. R. OF INDIANA. The train will be composed of baggage car, day coaches and sleepers, and will run through to Boston without any change, via the Big 4 to Cleveland, Lake Shore to Buffalo, New York Central to Niagara Falls, West Shore to Rotterdam Junction, and the Fitchburg & Housatonic Tunnel Line to Boston. FARE FOR THE ROUND TRIP, \$15. Tickets good going only on this particular train, but good to return on all trains up to August 25, with further extension, if desired, to September 30, by deposit of ticket in Boston.

Tickets will be sold also FOR ALL TRAINS on August 9, 9 and 10 at \$15 FOR THE ROUND TRIP, good for continuous passage going, but with the same limits and privileges of extension, as the above-mentioned tickets.

FOUR THROUGH TRAINS DAILY FROM INDIANAPOLIS TO BOSTON OVER THE BIG 4 and N. Y. C. ROUTES, VIA CLEVELAND, BUFFALO AND ALBANY. Tickets now on sale at the Big 4 offices: No. 1 East Washington street, No. 133 South Illinois street, Union Station.

Please call and ascertain the privileges that are permitted on these tickets. Purchase tickets and secure sleeping car accommodations at once.

Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago & St. Louis.

**GOING! GOING!**  
Any light-weight Suit in the house,  
**\$9.49**  
Gone after this week.

**ARE YOU IN IT?**  
Not unless you come in by the last hour to-morrow night.

The PANT snap at  
**\$3.99**  
Which catches any pair of light-weight Pants in the house, is still in working order at

**THE WHEN**

And everything in Surgical

Wm. H. Armstrong &

House, 92 South Illinois st.

**CRUTCHES**

**MADE A BREAK FOR LIBERTY**

An Ugly Riot in the Massachusetts State Prison Quickly Quelled.

BOSTON, Aug. 7.—For some time past trouble has been brewing at the Charles- town State prison, having its origin in the objection of the inmates to submit to the enforcement of the Berillon system of measurements. The recent escape of prisoner Moore, and the unsuccessful attempt to escape of "Chicken" Walsh, a notorious convict, has served to keep matters unsettled, but for several days there has been no decided outbreak.

This afternoon, about 3 o'clock, however, the convicts in the shoe-shop refused to obey the orders of their keepers, and, as if by some prearranged signal, all at once set up a terrific yell, and missiles of every description were sent flying in all directions, the windows on the north and west sides being entirely demolished. They made a dash for the yard. A large express wagon standing in the inclosure was pushed toward the wall by a mob of over fifty infuriated convicts, but in the excitement it was capsized, and the crowd rushed for the various walls. Instantly the sentries began firing, at first to frighten the convicts, but later to kill. Other officers of the institution were quickly at hand, and with drawn revolvers soon massed the gang in groups after a hard fight, in which clubs were used and many of the convicts' heads were badly crushed.

Word was quickly sent to police headquarters, and in a short time about two hundred police officers, detailed from the various stations, reached the prison in patrol-wagons. Upon their entering the yard they were met with a cry of derision and defiance, and it was impossible to get what the result of the shooting was. Several of the convicts were found hidden about the shops, and it was feared that one or two had escaped.

The guard on the walls has been trebled, and a large number of police are massed in the yard and corridors, while fifty or more officers guard the streets leading to the prison. After the prisoners had been locked up they continued their yelling for some time, and pandemonium reigned despite all efforts on the part of the officers. They were then taken from their cells singly and thoroughly searched.

The rising to-day is said to have been instigated by "Chicken" Walsh. The trouble this afternoon furnished about the first practical test that the police system has received, and proved its efficiency in enabling the authorities to master a large force in any section of the city in a very short time.

**CANNOT SHOW THE MONEY.**

Chicago Trying to Get Around the \$10,000,000 Provision in the World's Fair Bill.

CHICAGO, Aug. 7.—Secretary Butterworth, of the world's fair directory, will start for Washington next Monday or Tuesday. His mission is an important one. To expedite matters and get the foreign countries and states interested in the exposition, President Harrison's proclamation must be issued, and the commissioners and directors are extremely anxious that there should be no delay in that direction.

But in the present state of affairs the invitation by the President of the United States cannot be issued. There is a little sentence in Article 10 of the act by Congress which prevents the President from issuing his proclamation, and for that reason Mr. Butterworth is going to Washington.

His mission is to get the United States Congress and endeavor to have the objectionable sentence stricken from the act. The bill now provides that whenever the President of the United States shall be notified by the commission that provision has been made for grounds and buildings, and that satisfactory proof that a sum not less than \$10,000,000 has been raised, he shall be authorized to make his proclamation inviting foreign nations to take part in the exposition. The objectionable part of the section is that "satisfactory proof that a sum not less than \$10,000,000 has been raised," and these few words are what Mr. Butterworth will ask Congress to wipe out.

Boils and pimples and other affections arising from impure blood may appear at this season, when the blood is heated by the storm, and several men were buried beneath the ruins, but none were killed, though badly injured. The full extent of the damage done has not yet been learned.

## WORKING ON THE SAME LINES

The President and Secretary Blaine Have Been in Entire Accord on the Tariff.

Notwithstanding the Frantic Efforts of the Democratic Press to Make It Appear that There is a Divergence of Views.

Republican Senators Score a Good Point Against Dilatory Democratic Tactics.

River and Harbor Bill to Be Hung Up Until the Tariff Is Out of the Way—Iron Schedule—Election Measure Modified.

THE PRESIDENT AND MR. BLAINE.

They Have Worked Together on the Same Line Concerning Reciprocity.

Special to the Indianapolis Journal.

WASHINGTON, Aug. 7.—Very persistent and partially successful efforts have been made by the united Democratic press of the country during the week to make it appear that there was a wide and important difference of opinion between the President and Mr. Blaine on the question of reciprocity. It was a piece of Democratic strategy from the start, without the least foundation, and intended to create in the minds of the people remote from the national capital the impression that the Republican party was on the verge of disintegration on the tariff question.

There is not now, nor has there been, nor could there be, any rupture on this question. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another. The tariff bill is one subject, and Mr. Blaine's suggestion on commercial reciprocity, another.

Department of Labor, giving the figures of the cost of last night, and the remaining \$300,000 was not forthcoming. Col. John Arkins, president of the News company, called the deal off, and this morning published the following editorial: "The whole of Mr. James M. Burnett's stock in the Rocky Mountain News Printing Company, which was a full half, and also enough of the stock owned by Mr. John Arkins to give him a majority of the stock and the control of this paper, signed by a well known as a leading Democratic politician and criminal lawyer of Colorado."

**WOULD NOT TELL HIS SECRET.**

St. Louis Glass Company Forced Into Liquidation by the Inventor of the Process.

St. Louis, Aug. 7.—The Eagle Glass and Metal Company has made an assignment to George N. Davis for the benefit of creditors, under somewhat peculiar circumstances. Lately it moved into its present quarters on Olive, near Seventeenth, and put in a plant for the manufacture of all kinds of ornamental glass. The process in question is the discovery of William H. Warren, one of the members of the company.

By a contract between Warren and the rest of the company the formula of the secret process was placed in the hands of the safety deposit company by Warren, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Warren did not divulge the constituents or composition of the process to the other members of the company. The new building was completed a few days ago, and the process was made upon the superintendent of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to an issue by making an assignment of the process to a third party, who was to be the superintendent in charge of the manufacturing department and a member of the board of directors, with an interest in the company.

Mr. Warren refused to comply, the other parties claim, and it is said he desired the guarantee of an expert in the art. No adjustment of matters could be made. The company then decided to bring matters to